Early OR Evolving Melanoma: A Reportability Issue (2018 UPDATE)

2018 FORWARD

Per SEER, for cases diagnosed January 1, 2018 forward, early or evolving melanomas of any type are NOT REPORTABLE. This includes ANY invasive and/or in situ melanomas described as early or evolving.

Examples of NR “EARLY/EVOLVING” MM/MIS TYPES include but are NOT limited to:

- Early/evolving lentigo maligna
- Early/evolving melanoma in situ lentigo maligna type
- Early/evolving melanoma in situ NOS
- Early/evolving melanoma in situ (insert other type, i.e superficial spreading)
- Early/evolving malignant melanoma (insert specific type, i.e superficial spreading)
- Invasive early/evolving melanoma (insert other type, i.e superficial spreading)

“Early Lesion” Issue still requiring standard setter/CAP clarification:

Some pathologists may document their findings as “MMIS (malignant melanoma in situ), early lesion” OR “MM (malignant melanoma), early lesion.” This documentation is not as clear-cut as it appears.

SEER is waiting on CAP to determine if “early lesions” are synonymous with early or evolving MM/MIS BEFORE issuing a statement on reportability for these type of cases. Until an official reportability statement is issued by CAP/SEER, these “early lesion” cases should remain in suspense.

PRIOR to 2018

Skin pathology reports that record the histology as either “Early” OR “Evolving” Melanoma NOS OR “Early/Evolving” Melanoma NOS (with NO STATEMENT of IN-SITU OR INVASION) are NOT REPORTABLE.

Example 1: Shave Bx skin LT arm: Early melanoma. This would NOT be reportable because there was no statement of being either in-situ OR invasive. It was only stated to be an “Early” melanoma NOS.

Example 2: Excisional Bx skin of medial chest: Early/evolving melanoma. Again, this would NOT be reportable because there was no statement of being either in-situ OR invasive. It was only stated to be either an “Early or evolving” melanoma NOS.

Example 3: Shave Bx forehead skin: Evolving melanoma. Re-exc skin of forehead: no residual melanoma. This would also NOT be reportable because no in-situ OR invasive statement was provided. It was only stated to be an “Evolving” melanoma NOS. "No residual melanoma" is not diagnostic of a reportable neoplasm.
Example 4: Punch Bx RT leg: Evolving in-situ melanoma. *This is REPORTABLE because the pathologist included an “in-situ” statement.*

Example 5: Shave Bx Skin of Scalp: Early/evolving invasive melanoma. *Again, this is REPORTABLE because the pathologist included a statement of “invasion.”*

The following melanoma skin histologies ARE REPORTABLE:

- Melanoma, NOS
- Early invasive melanoma
- Evolving invasive melanoma
- Early/evolving invasive melanoma
- In-situ melanoma (MIS)
- Early in-situ melanoma
- Evolving in-situ melanoma
- Early/evolving in-situ melanoma
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