Local Detention Reform Leading to State Adoption of Best Practice Detention Standards

Statewide standards for juvenile detention facilities were produced through a collaborative effort utilizing and accelerating local reforms from Models for Change sites in Louisiana. These standards have improved the expected level of safety, quality of care, and accountability within juvenile detention centers. They have also been lauded by national experts for their quality. Implementation of the licensing standards has resulted in immediate positive outcomes for youth in these facilities.

The Issue

In 2003, the state of Louisiana passed sweeping juvenile justice reform legislation and committed to dramatically improving conditions in its state facilities. This followed a growing scrutiny of violent and inhumane conditions in youth facilities, and litigation filed against the state by the Department of Justice and a local advocacy organization. The 2003 Legislation, known as Act 1225, called for, among other things, the development of uniform standards and licensing procedures for all local juvenile detention centers. These detention centers were previously subject only to voluntary licensing standards that had not been updated since the 1980s.

At the time of the 2003 legislation, there was broad recognition that the development of standards for juvenile detention centers was needed. However, there was no clear consensus as to whose responsibility they fell under, what they should be, or who should be responsible for the regulation itself. Ultimately, the single state entity envisioned by the legislation to create these standards did not come to fruition. As a result, while reform efforts in other aspects of Louisiana’s juvenile justice system proceeded, the state’s sixteen juvenile detention centers remained locally controlled, with little oversight or regulation, and no clear or consistent standards of operation.

Despite the lack of statewide standards, some detention centers were making progress. A few detention centers, with the support of other system reform efforts and local leadership were already making strides to improve conditions of confinement and to raise the bar for the treatment of youth in detention. This was particularly true in sites that were participating in both the Macarthur Foundation’s Models for Change in Juvenile Justice Reform initiative and the Annie E. Casey Foundation’s Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative. These detention centers were focused on improving community oversight of detention conditions, reducing the number of youth entering detention through the use of newly developed screening instruments, and addressing the critical issue of disproportionate minority contact. For example, in places like Calcasieu Parish and Jefferson Parish, admissions to detention were significantly lower, while programming in the facilities themselves had improved.
In the end, the standards for juvenile detention that were produced through this collaborative effort raised the bar significantly on the expected level of safety, quality of care, and accountability within juvenile detention centers. They
have also been lauded by national experts for their quality. Implementation of the licensing standards brought about immediate positive outcomes for youth in these facilities, including:

• The use of pepper spray and restraint chairs was eliminated, and the use of any restraints for punishment, retaliation, harassment, intimidation or as a substitute for room restriction or confinement was prohibited.
• Mandatory training was implemented that addressed, at a minimum, sexual misconduct prevention, adolescent development, needs of youth with behavioral disorders and intellectual disabilities, as well as working with youth that identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender.
• Minimum staffing ratios of 1:8 during the day and 1:16 at night with a two staff per unit minimum were implemented.
• A clear statement of youth rights, including freedom from being subject to profanity and abuse, was developed and adopted.
• Education programming comparable to that available in the community was implemented, compliance with special education requirements was required, and protections for disciplined youth were put in place.

The standards recommend a screening instrument that is selected or developed in accordance with local juvenile justice stakeholders. Based on the information gleaned from these instruments and other detention procedures, facilities are also now required to maintain accurate data, including data on admissions and operations of the facility.

Results
Following the adoption of the standards, a series of trainings on the new standards were provided to detention facility staff and administrators. In addition, DCFS staff were trained in conducting facility assessments to ensure compliance with the licensing requirements. By July 2013, fifteen detention centers in the state of Louisiana successfully secured the resources and support necessary to fully implement the standards and become licensed. Only one facility determined that it did not have the resources needed to meet the new standards and ultimately closed. As a result of these efforts, stakeholders, policy makers and experts agreed that significant progress was made towards improving Louisiana’s local juvenile detention centers, including the accountability of the facilities and conditions for youth in their care and custody. Furthermore, according to the parishes that have adopted screening instruments and alternatives to detention, data suggest that the inappropriate use of detention, total numbers of youth detained, and lengths of stay are lower.

The long-term success of the standards will continue to be monitored through the ongoing collection of data, which before passage of the standards was not available statewide. Now, important data that will allow Louisiana to monitor juvenile detention facilities will be collected, analyzed, and reported on an ongoing basis. These include data about admissions, average daily population, average length of stay, and incident reports within facilities. These data will be a valuable tool that Louisiana can use to track long term success in improving juvenile facilities and detention reform.

Lessons
Louisiana’s long path towards reforming its juvenile detention centers, which ultimately resulted in significant positive reforms that will have long-lasting, positive outcomes for youth in these facilities, make clear the importance of collaboration among stakeholders. Collaboration is necessary to ensure that the diverse perspectives of stakeholders are taken into account. For example, by incorporating the perspectives of an administrator of a juvenile detention facility in a rural area and an administrator of a facility in an urban area, the taskforce ensured that implementation of the resulting standards was feasible statewide.

The statewide conversation that occurred around the development of the standards had the added benefit of raising awareness and educating administrators about best practices and the resources available within the state. For instance, facility administrators expressed the challenges of holding youth for status offenses alongside youth in the delinquency system, while also having critical conversations about facility operations and cost to the local government.
The development of the detention standards has generated a model for legislation, a model for targeted juvenile justice collaboration, and a model set of detention standards. These models can be transported to and translated by other states looking to improve their juvenile detention facilities. The detention standards themselves offer a baseline standard for care that extends far beyond Louisiana.

Resources
All resources available through the Institute for Public Health & Justice (phone (504) 568-5953; Website- http://publichealth.lsuhsc.edu/iphj/)

• House Bill 1477
• Juvenile Screening Instruments
• Louisiana Juvenile Detention Standards
• Model Stakeholder Collaboration
• Disproportionate Minority Contact Data Gathering and Reporting Methods
• Data Indicators for Juvenile Detention

The Broader Impact
This process for developing detention standards has assisted Louisiana in identifying other reforms that are needed to ensure the long-term success of the implementation of the standards. These include improving the handling of status offenders, increasing alternatives to detention, and developing an expanded array of community services to deter the need for detention and also, when necessary, supply critical re-entry services. These are particularly challenging in rural areas with fewer resources.

National consultants, available through MiC, also brought invaluable experience and expertise to the table to ensure that Louisiana set the bar for standards high, and incorporated best practices in Louisiana’s juvenile detention centers. For example, national consultant CCLP presented on the “Dangers of Detention” to the Taskforce on Juvenile Detention. This included the negative impact of housing youth in detention for any reason other than as a matter of public safety.

Bringing the perspectives and expertise of all of these stakeholders and experts to the table ensured that the end result was a set of standards that were reasonable for facilities to achieve, protected youth in the care of these facilities, and provided a model for other states and jurisdictions seeking to make similar reforms.
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